

**Questions of Clarification for
'Nineteenth-century Land Alienation and Administration within the North-Eastern Bay of
Plenty Part One: Raupatu lands' by Jane Luiten (Wai 1750, #A12)**

1. Q.1 On page 187, you discuss how Huriana Tahawa of Te Ūpokorehe had her claim defeated in the Compensation Court by a 'deed put in evidence by Crown Agent to prove that the native title to this land was extinguished in 1840'. Is the 'Crown Agent' you are referring to here JA Wilson?
2. Q.2 On pages 187-188, you talk about an individual named 'Huriana' in quote marks. Is this 'Huriana' the same as "Huriana Tahawa of Te Ūpokorehe" that you name in an earlier paragraph on page 187 (as per above in question 1)?
3. Q.3 On pages 187-188, you state "Unlike Hira Te Okiwa, 'Huriana' remained on the list of owners for Hiwarau Reserve in the 1872 revision, but she was omitted from any interest in Hokianga. The 25-acre award was gazetted in 1874. In 1958, 75 years later, it was established that a Crown grant had never issued." Can you clarify whether the Crown grant that was never issued is in relation to the 25-acre lot or is it in relation Huriana being on the list of owners for Hiwarau?
4. Q.4 On page 206, you discuss 'two petitions in the 1930s' with the first petition led by "Rahi Erana and nine others in July 1935". Following that, you state that the "second petition, that of Henare Rako and six others, called for the whole question of entitlement to be reopened, and was heard by the Native Land Court in July 1839". Is the correct date July 1839 or July 1939?

