

Questions of clarification for Tony Walzl

Questions in relation to main report (Wai 1750, #A30)

1. **Paragraph 1.30 on page 41:** The author writes:

... Wilson produced the following map indicating that a larger population resided in the district.

2. Is the author able to provide a copy of the map referred to?

3. **Paragraph 1.78 on page 57:** The author writes:

... the request to draw up the deed came from Whakatōhea at Ōpōtiki.

4. Can the author please clarify, did Bishop Pompallier record that the request came from “Whakatōhea at Ōpōtiki”?

5. **Paragraphs 1.43 and 1.48 on pages 47 and 48:** The author excerpts passages of the journal of Wilson in which Wilson refers to “the river”. Can the author please clarify whether Wilson records the name of “the river” he was referring to?

6. **Paragraph 1.157 on page 91:** The author writes:

... and subsequent deeds signed sometime in the first half of March 1865.

7. Should “1865” be “1840”?

8. **Paragraph 1.223 on page 124:** The author refers to “consideration of available online dictionary information”.

9. Which online dictionary sources were consulted by the author?

10. **Paragraph 2.43 on page 183:** The author writes:

... Wilson’s words indicate the incident occurred in November. If this is the case, then he would not have been an eyewitness to events as he arrived back at Ōpōtiki only after the middle of December.

11. In paragraph 2.33 on page 180 the author states that “In December 1841, Wilson visited Tauranga and returned to Ōpōtiki on 18 December 1841”. Could the author clarify where they understand Wilson was in November 1841?

RECEIVED Waitangi Tribunal
30 Oct 24
Ministry of Justice WELLINGTON

12. **Paragraph 2.60 on page 190:** The author writes:
- ... a 10 November 1846 letter ... In the same letter, Wilson also reported that the mission for which he had been responsible for 11 years ...
13. Can the author clarify if the figure of “11 years” is accurate, given the CMS mission in Ōpōtiki was established in March 1840? In relation to this, it is also noted that the 6 January 1846 letter of Brother Claude Marie quoted by the author in paragraph 2.69 on page 193 of the report states: “... an Anglican named Wilson. He has been here for more than 12 years”.
14. **Paragraph 2.158 on page 229:** The author writes:
- ... who made up 27% of the population both at Ōpōtiki and across the Inquiry District.
15. This percentage appears to be derived from the figures recorded in paragraph 2.218 on page 213, is that correct?
16. **Paragraph 2.348 on page 312:** Should the final word of this paragraph be “gunpowder”?
17. **Paragraph 3.71 on page 340:** The author quotes Reverend Völkner’s report in which he wrote:
- ... the different tribes of this district have each written to the Governor and two of them have sent deputations to His Excellency to assure him they have no intention of joining in the present war.
18. Has the author located copies of the letters referred to by Reverend Völkner or records of the meetings with the Governor?
19. **Paragraph 3.154 on page 380:** The author writes:
- These letters, and the preparedness of Völkner to communicate with the Government ... were one of the reasons cited to explain Völkner’s later killing.
20. Could the author clarify who is referred to here as giving reasons to explain Völkner’s killing, and when this explanation was given?
21. **Paragraph 3.156 on page 381:** The author writes:
- There is no record of a reply and research to date has not found reference to the letters in a way that specifically reflects they affected events or decisions.

22. Could the author clarify whether they located any records of Governor Grey or officials referring to Reverend Völkner's letters?
23. **Page 460:** Here and elsewhere in the report the author refers to the "arrest" and "execution" of Reverend Völkner. Could the author please clarify the intended meanings of "arrest" and "execution" in this context?
24. **Paragraph 3.358 on page 477:** The author writes, in relation to Hakaraia Te Rahui (Te Akau): "possibly of Ngāti Ira". Could the author clarify why the qualifier "possibly" is not used in paragraph 3.342 on page 467, in relation to the statement "Hakaraia Te Rahui ... has been identified as being of Ngāti Ira", and also in paragraph 3.466 on page 517?