Annex 1: Summary table of parties' responses to questions posed by the Waitangi Tribunal in Memorandum-Directions No 46, 19 April 2013 (Wai 2200, 2.5.59), oral submissions at Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013, and responses to post conference Memorandum Directions No 50 (Wai 2200, #2.5.63)

Written submissions made prior to the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Do parties support the idea of a Ngā Kōrero Tuku Iho process in this inquiry district?

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1064 366	3.1.447	Robert Waretini Tukorehu Herbert and Robert Jonathan Wayne Herbert on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Solomon (Rēkohu Chambers)	Support the concept of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho.
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Support the idea of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process provided the process does not delay the research programme.
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Support the idea of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process provided the process does not delay the research programme.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Support the idea of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process provided the process does not delay the research programme and that the process is consistent with their desire to speak on their own behalf.
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	Support the idea of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process in this inquiry.
113 1461 651 437 1580	3.1.453	Te Hono ki Raukawa Runanga claim for all hapū and iwi Kauwhata claim Reureu claim Raukawa claims	D Hall (Woodward Law)	Te Hono ki Raukawa supports the Tribunal's proposals. Its support takes account of the need to get research started.
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Support a Kōrero Tuku Iho process and consider that their Kōrero Tuku Iho will be a major foundation to their claim. However, a concern of the claimants is the length of time it may take to coordinate and run the hui process and any impact this may have on the development of the research programme and commencement of substantive hearings. It is also submitted that claimants must be appropriately supported to prepare for and participate in the Kōrero Tuku Iho hui. It will be a big undertaking for small hapū groupings such as the claimants to prepare for and potentially coordinate or host such a hui. The claimants are concerned to see that the CFRT and the Tribunal make appropriate funding available for this process in order for it to be useful to the Tribunal, claimants and the Crown.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Filed an earlier memorandum of counsel (#3.1.107) supporting the idea in principle of Kōrero Tuku Iho hui process. The claimants still support a Kōrero Tuku Iho process so long as no delay is caused to the development of the research programme and commencement of substantive hearings. Counsel submit that the Tribunal should consider embarking on the development of the research casebook concurrently with the Kōrero Tuku Iho process. Counsel is aware that the commencement of the research programme is dependent on CFRT funding being made available to allow this to occur.
MIR6202 Later reg as 2930	3.1.456	Benjamin Ramerka Ngaia for and on behalf of the Takamore Trustees	L Watson	Supportive of the Tribunal's proposed Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process. The claimants will work constructively with other claimants, and kaumatua of Te Atiawa, as to how, when the time comes for Te Atiawa traditional evidence to be heard, the Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process might be organised according to the kawa of Te Atiawa.
1623 2361 2199	3.1.457	Turoa Karatea, Mason Durie, Danny Karatea-Goddard and Sue Tumanako Herangi on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi ki Rangitikei. Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts. Kim Poananga and others in regard to the Returned Servicemen's claim from WWII.	M Sinclair	All claimants are favourable to the holding of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui. Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho is an opportunity for the claimant community to present localised history for the benefit of their people. Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho allows whanau and hapū histories to be told within a hau-kainga environment and is welcomed. The claimants will indulge both the time and space to kōrero among themselves and with the Waitangi Tribunal, away from the dynamics and political issues of other larger iwi groupings and organisations and without fear of cross examination of their stories. They see it as their opportunity to tell their versions of their history.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2032 1707 2261 1815		Lee Iranui Lee and others in regard to the imposition of the Rating Act on Māori Land. Dawn Mitai-Pehi and others in regard to the Turakina Māori Girls Kura and Mana Wahine issues. Kim Poanaga and others in regard to the issues of the loss of Mana Tane. Kahu Stirling and others in regard to the imposition of Crown policies and the loss of Tino Rangatiratanga		
52, 237 493, 1490 1621,1629 2046, 2048 2050, 2051 2052, 2053 2054, 2056 2140, 2173	3.1.458	Muaūpoko Claimant Cluster	D Stone/L Thornton (Te Mata a Maui Law/ Kathy Ertel & Co)	Are holding a claimant cluster on 25-26 May and will discuss the outlined proposal for Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho and prepare a response for the judicial conference. Claimants are generally favourably disposed to a Kōrero Tuku Iho programme with limited concerns. Those concerns relate to the potential interaction of the Kōrero Tuku Iho and the traditional history research and report concerning the Muaūpoko iwi. It is the preliminary concern of the claimants canvassed that the Kōrero Tuku Iho programme be used to augment and inform the traditional history report rather than supplant it.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2175, 2261 2326 MIR5912 MIR6031				
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	Wish to avoid any further delay to the commencement of the research programme. The claimants are happy to abide by the preference of the majority of the claimants in this inquiry, provided that it does not cause further delay to the commencement of the research programme. Counsel notes that the directions do not address the impact that Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process may have on the research casebook. Counsel therefore seek clarification as to whether it is anticipated that work on the research programme could be undertaken during the Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process.
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.	T Wara (Aurere Law)	Supports the idea in principle of a Kōrero Tuku Iho hui process.
1619	3.1.462	John Reweti on behalf of himself and Ngāti Parewahawaha	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	The claimant and hapū of Ngāti Parewahawaha are supportive of a Kōrero Tuku Iho process in this inquiry. The claimants are aligned with Te Hono ki Raukawa and are willing to work constructively with other claimants, and kuia and kaumatua, in the development of the process.
1482	3.1.465 and 3.1.465 (a)	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricial Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Tumatanui have agreed to support the Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
Crown	3.1.466	Crown	D Ward	The Crown supports a Kōrero Tuku Iho process in this inquiry district. It is submitted that the process can be undertaken alongside research in the district, and can support rather than inhibit the research programme. The name of the process, the coverage of topics within oral traditions, how the process is to be organised and the tikanga to be applied are primarily matters for the claimants.
1913	3.1.467	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	A Warren (McCaw Lewis)	Support a Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process in the inquiry. The Wai 1913 claimants believe that this process will enable holders of Kōrero Tuku Iho to share their knowledge with the Tribunal in a comfortable and natural way. To get the best from the experience, the claimants would lilke to see a process that ensures fluidity of kōrero.
1018	3.1.468	Apihaka Mack and Marama Pala for and on behalf of Ngatiawa ki Kapiti		Support the proposed Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process.
113 408 757 1932	3.1.469	Whata Karaka Davis, Ngarongo Iwikatea Nicholson and Te Maharanui Jacob for and on behalf of all descendants of the iwi and hapū of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti for and on behalf of affected members and land owners in the Waiwiri block including Waiwiri Lake, stream and associated foreshore and seabed	D Edmunds (Kensington Swan)	Remain supportive of the Tribunal's proposed Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process. The Rohe Pōtae precedent shows that a Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process can work well. Such a process has the advantage that all claimants will have an opportunity to speak and to address the Tribunal however they wish, and unconstrained by time pressures that occur in the substantive hearings when tāngata whenua evidence often has to be fitted in around 'technical' evidence. In the Rohe Pōtae inquiry claimants were also able to give more formal tāngata whenua evidence in the substantive hearings which commenced last November and which are now proceeding. It is important that despite any Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process the opportunity will remain for the presentation of more structured tāngata whenua evidence at the substantive hearings.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		Whamaro Mark Kiriona and Wayne John Kiriona for and on behalf of the tribe, hapū and people of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti, Peter Richardson and Te Maharanui Jacob on behalf of the Carnarvon 382 and 383 Trust		

Oral submissions made at the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
113 1461 651 437 1580	Dennis Emery	Te Hono ki Raukawa	In support of the process.
651	Turoa Karatea		Broadly supports the proposed process. Has had a positive experience of it in Te Rohe Pōtae inquiry.

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
88	Damian Parata		Agrees in principle with the process.
256, 1625	Te Waari Carkeek		In favour of the process.
1491		Beneficial owners of Hokio A (Muaūpoko)	Supports the proposed process.

Written submissions made after the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1872 1619 1260 651	3.1.476	*651*: Turoa Karatea and others on behalf of Waewae, Pikiahu, Matakore and Rangatahi *1260:* William Kane, John Reweti and Louis Chase: on behalf of Ngāti Waewae *1619:* John Reweti Ngāti Parewahawaha *1872:* Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	All support a Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process being run in the Porirua ki Manawatū Inquiry District.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1640	3.1.472	Te Meera Hyde and others on behalf of Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga	H Te Nahu (Te Nahu Lovell & Co)	Support the proposal as long as the process is supportive of all claimants whether individual or collectively in their own right.

What should the process be called?

Written submissions made prior to the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1064 366	3.1.447	Robert Waretini Tukorehu Herbert and Robert Jonathan Wayne Herbert on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Solomon (Rēkohu Chambers)	The claimants suggest: 'Wai 2200 – Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho'
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Are comfortable with the name 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho'.
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Suggest that the process be called 'Kōrero Tumatanui no Tuku Iho.'

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi		
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Suggest that the process be called 'O Matau Kōrero.'
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	Have no position or preference.
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Have no particular view as to what the process should be called.
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Have no particular view as to what the process should be called.
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	Have no real preferences regarding the name for this process so long as it is inclusive and representative of all groups in this inquiry district. Suggest 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho o Porirua ki Manawatū' or something similar.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata		
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.	T Wara (Aurere Law)	Supports the process being called 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho.'

Oral submissions made at the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
1482	Richard Orzecki	Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	Accepts the name 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho' as it has broad support.

Written submissions made after the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1913	3.1.467	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	A Warren (McCaw Lewis)	Claimants support the name remaining 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho'.
108	3.1.478	Tama-i-uia Ruru on behalf of the descendants of Rangihirinui Te Keepa Kemp & the Mauaupoko Tribe		Supports 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho' as the name for the process.
1640	3.1.472	Te Meera Hyde and others on behalf of Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga	Te Tahu Lovell & Co	Favour the name 'Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho'.

How broad should the scope of the hui be? What topics from existing oral traditions would be most appropriate for discussion?

Written submissions made prior to the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	The claimants assert the need for at least one hui solely focused on Ngāti Kauwhata's oral traditions. The claimants do not consider there is a need to limit the topics covered at this hui beyond the requirements that they be relevant to the Tribunal's jurisdiction under the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975.
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Assert the need for at least one hui solely focussed on Ngāti Wehi Wehi's oral traditions. Wish to decide the topics for discussion at their hui in accordance with the principle of rangatiratanga, provided the topics are relevant to the Tribunal's jurisdiction under the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975.[1] Attach a list of proposed topics to guide the kōrero for a Ngāti Wehi Wehi hui. (see Wai 2200, #3.1.449[a]). The headings included: Who is Ngati Wehi Wehi? • Conquest Raupatu (1820-1830) • European Introductions • Mutual Agreements

[1] Claimants suggest Wai 898, #2.5.58, appendix A, paras 2.1-2.3 could be adopted for this purposes of this inquiry.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
				• European Settlement (1840-mid 1850s) • European Settlement By Force • Kingitanga Response -Invasion of King Country/Waikato • Impact of Native Land Court/Crown purchases on Ngati Wehi Wehi • Aftermath
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Do not consider there is a need to limit the topics covered at this hui beyond the requirement that they be relevant to the Tribunal's jurisdiction under the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975. Should the claimants choose to participate, they wish to speak to the Wai 2031 claim and in particular their origins in Maungatautari and whanau identity (including in terms of tribal affiliations/relationships and dealings with the Crown).
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	The scope of the hui should be designed so as to avoid, as far as possible, repetition of material that will be presented during the substantive hearings.
113 1461 651 437 1580	3.1.453	Te Hono ki Raukawa Runanga claim for all hapū and iwi Kauwhata claim Reureu claim Raukawa claims	D Hall (Woodward Law)	Te Hono members considered the following: • The arrival of the hapū in the district, their migration history and expeditions in common with others • The original settlement of the land, any relocations, the permanent settlement of the land, the location of pā sites, papakainga, mahinga kai, wāhi tapu and present day marae • Relationships with other hapū by early whakapapa, subsequent significant marriages, common ventures and undertakings • The areas of claimed interest • Thoughts on why so many Raukawa hapū are named for women • Understandings of the relationship with other iwi including Ngāti Toa, Te Atiawa, Ngāti Apa and the Iwi Taketake of Rangitāne and

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
				Muaūpoko • Stories of ones marae and the people of those marae and of the marae no longer standing • Understandings of common identity of Ngāti Raukawa co-existing with the distinctive identity of the hapū • Recollections of the movement of whanau throughout the district • The state of the environment and the changes that have occurred particularly with our waterways
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	The hui should cover matters such as whakapapa; nga mōteatea; hapū and iwi customary history; the heke; and oral kōrero establishing Ngāti Raukawa mana whenua in the region. The claimants are a river people. Their marae and lands are on the banks of the Rangitikei Awa. Accordingly, they consider that the scope of their kōrero would address the significance of the awa to the claimants and its many uses to the people of the awa.
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Hui should cover pre-1840 matters such as whakapapa; hapū and iwi customary history; the heke; oral kōrero establishing Raukawa mana whenua in the region; and inter-iwi relationships including treaties and agreements negotiated between Raukawa and Rangitāne. In addition, the claimants consider that post-1840 matters should also be addressed including the background to the Himatangi Crown Grants Act and in particular the understandings of the claimants' tūpuna of this legislation.
1623	3.1.457	Turoa Karatea, Mason Durie, Danny Karatea-Goddard and Sue Tumanako Herangi on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Sinclair	The claimants will present themes which will include recent history, that some have lived, 'lived experience' and will be invaluable to those claimants in understanding that history is being created in their lifetimes. The topic matters to be discussed may follow the line of the claimant's statement of claim against the Crown or a timeline or subject matter.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2361 2199 2032 1707 2261 1815		ki Rangitikei. Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts. Kim Poananga and others in regard to the Returned Servicemen's claim from WWII. Lee Iranui Lee and others in regard to the imposition of the Rating Act on Māori Land. Dawn Mitai-Pehi and others in regard to the Turakina Māori Girls Kura and Mana Wahine issues. Kim Poanaga and others in regard to the issues of the loss of Mana Tane. Kahu Stirling and others in regard to the imposition of Crown policies and the loss of Tino Rangatiratanga		• Migrations of people through particular districts • Iwi, hapū and whanau relationships • Pre-signing the Treaty of Waitangi 1840 Māori history; Māori to Māori relationships Māori and early European relationships • 1840 Treaty of Waitangi – the signing of the Treaty in local regions 1840-1890 • 50 years post the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi – the progress of Māori development – Crown breaches which altered Māori lifestyle and progress 1890-1940 • 100 years post the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi - the progress of Māori development – Crown breaches which altered Māori lifestyle and progress 1940-1990 • 150 years post the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi - the progress of Māori development – Crown breaches which altered Māori lifestyle and progress Topics for consideration • Migrations and displacement of peoples • Legislative acts and decisions of the Courts • Wi Parata and the Bishop of Wellington – the Church and the Judiciary • The Rating Act and its impact on Māori lands and peoples • The economic and social impact on Māori people due to the activities of Crown officials

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
				• The impact of war on families and communities left without menfolk and the impact of those returned soldiers from those wars • The control and use of all resources in the local areas, including water usage, plant life, native flora and fauna, access ways and use rights of foreshore and seabed; and other such taonga • The development of towns and cities upon Māori lands and whether such development was a fair use of Māori lands and were Māori lands targeted for being a cheaper source than general lands • Tuku Whenua – gifted lands with reciprocal conditions and understandings • Hapū movements – Ahi kaa – Mana whenua – he Taua movements • Kapiti Island and the surrounding environs – migrations – displacement – internal arrangements – a confiscated jewel • Waahi Tapu – the significance of wāhi tapu
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	Topics should include: • pre-1840 issues • tribal landscape • whakapapa • tribal and mana whenua (including inter-tribal relationships) • oral history. Counsel submit that topics which are likely to be part of the Tribunal's substantive inquiry should not be included to avoid repetition and to ensure that claimants are able to present their full case during the substantive hearings.
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the	T Wara (Aurere Law)	The claimant considers that the hui should cover pre-1840 matters including:

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.		• Whakapapa • Hapū and iwi history • The heke • Take whenua kite hou (discovery); take tupuna (ancestral rights); take raupatu (conquest and/or displacement); taunaha whenua (allocation of rights to others) and take ōhākī (dying allocations). • Settlement of the land, the location of pā sites, papa kainga, mahinga kai, wāhi tapu and present day marae. • Inter-relationships with other hapū and iwi, and the political alliances that were formed • Thoughts on why so many Raukawa hapū are named for women • The state of the environment and the changes that have occurred particularly with their waterways
1619	3.1.462	John Reweti on behalf of himself and Ngāti Parewahawaha	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	The claimants consider that the hui should cover matters such as: • hapū and iwi customary history; • whakapapa; • significant tūpuna such as their eponymous ancestress Parewahawaha; • the heke; • oral kōrero establishing Ngāti Raukawa mana whenua in the region; • the significance of the Rangitikei awa to the claimants and its many uses to the people of the awa.
113 408	3.1.469	Whata Karaka Davis, Ngarongo Iwikatea Nicholson and Te Maharanui Jacob for and	113 408	The claimants consider that the hui should cover matters such as: • hapū and iwi custom; • whakapapa;

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
757 1932		on behalf of all descendants of the iwi and hapū of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti for and on behalf of affected members and land owners in the Waiwiri block including Waiwiri Lake, stream and associated foreshore and seabed Whamaro Mark Kiriona and Wayne John Kiriona for and on behalf of the tribe, hapū and people of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti, Peter Richardson and Te Maharanui Jacob on behalf of the Carnarvon 382 and 383 Trust	757 1932	• relationships between hapū and other groups; • the role of traditional tribal arrangements such as 'Moenga Rangatira' to create a peaceful coexistence and other customs.

Oral submissions made at the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
2031	Jo-Ella Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the	Opposes strict cut off date for Kōrero Tuku Iho evidence. In particular claimants should be able to speak about their lived experiences of the aftermath of Crown actions which

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
		descendants of James Howard Wallace	date from a long time ago.
1623 2361 2199 2032 1707 2261 1815	Tony Sinclair	Turoa Karatea, Mason Durie, Danny Karatea-Goddard and Sue Tumanako Herangi on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi ki Rangitikei. Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts. Kim Poananga and others in regard to the Returned Servicemen's claim from WWII. Lee Iranui Lee and others in regard to the imposition of the Rating Act on Māori Land. Dawn Mitai-Pehi and others in regard to the Turakina Māori Girls Kura and Mana Wahine issues. Kim Poanaga and others in regard to the issues of the loss of Mana Tane. Kahu Stirling and others	Wish to include matters up to an including the Vietnam War.

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
		in regard to the imposition of Crown policies and the loss of Tino Rangatiratanga	

Written submissions made after the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
108	3.1.478	Tama-i-uia Ruru on behalf of the descendants of Rangihirinui Te Keepa Kemp & the Muaūpoko Tribe		Recognises that it will be appropriate to hear issues relating to omissions, acts and injustices of the Crown and its Agents during the actual hearing.
1640	3.1.472	Te Meera Hyde and others on behalf of Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga	Te Nahu Lovell & Co	Issues relating to acts, omissions, and actions of the Crown and its agents will best be traversed during the actual hearing phase. The Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho process 'is purely bent on ensuring the capture of kōrero tawhito, while those who are steeped and skilled in those areas are still able to do so.'
1482	3.1.477	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Request that the list of topics to be included be framed as broadly as possible in order to give scope for the claimants to exercise rangatiratanga in deciding what they speak about.

How should each iwi hui be organised? By geographical location, by theme, or in some other manner?

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1064 366	3.1.447	Robert Waretini Tukorehu Herbert and Robert Jonathan Wayne Herbert on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Solomon (Rēkohu Chambers)	Suggests that iwi hui be organised along whakapapa and geographic lines
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	A hui for Ngāti Kauwhata should be held at Kai Iwi Marae, Feilding.
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	A hui for Ngāti Wehi Wehi should be held at Wehi Wehi Marae, Manakau. The claimants believe that there may be about 20 kaumatua who wish to participate in such a hui.
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Wish to be able to choose the venue at which they will speak.
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	Hui should be organised by geographical location.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
113 1461 651 437 1580	3.1.453	Te Hono ki Raukawa Runanga claim for all hapū and iwi Kauwhata claim Reureu claim Raukawa claims	D Hall (Woodward Law)	Te Hono considers it is for the hapū for whom the claims have been made to determine the extent to which they wish to provide evidence at this stage, the nature of that evidence and the method of its collation and presentation.
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wackrow, Williams and Davies)	Hui should be by tribal grouping and/or geographical location. The claimants expect that the hapū and claimants of Te Reureu and the northern Ngāti Raukawa rohe within the inquiry district will offer to host a Kōrero Tuku Iho hui on one of their own marae in their own rohe.
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Hui should be organised by tribal grouping and/or geographical location. Offer to host a Kōrero Tuku Iho hui at Motuiti to illustrate the customary histories of Nga Hapū o Himatangi and the living relationships between Rangitāne and Raukawa.
1623 2361	3.1.457	Turoa Karatea, Mason Durie, Danny Karatea-Goddard and Sue Tumanako Herangi on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi ki Rangitikei. Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti	M Sinclair	Preference is for Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui to be held in geographical locations. The presentation of Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho generic claims may be held in a central neutral location unless there is a specific reason why such presentations should be held within a geographical local community within the Porirua ki Manawatū inquiry district.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2199 2032 1707 2261 1815		Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts. Kim Poananga and others in regard to the Returned Servicemen's claim from WWII. Lee Iranui Lee and others in regard to the imposition of the Rating Act on Māori Land. Dawn Mitai-Pehi and others in regard to the Turakina Māori Girls Kura and Mana Wahine issues. Kim Poanaga and others in regard to the issues of the loss of Mana Tane. Kahu Stirling and others in regard to the imposition of Crown policies and the loss of Tino Rangatiratanga		

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	Prefer hui to be organised by tribal or geographical means. Request a specific Ngāti Kauwhata hui.
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.	T Wara (Aurere Law)	Supports each hui being organised by hapū grouping and geographical location.
1619	3.1.462	John Reweti on behalf of himself and Ngāti Parewahawaha	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	Hui should be organised by tribal grouping and/or geographical location. The claimants consider that hearings of traditional kōrero on their own marae will be one of the cornerstones of their claim. Expect that the hapū and claimants of the northern Ngāti Raukawa rohe including Nga Iwi o Te Reureu will offer to host a Kōrero Tuku Iho hui on one of their marae.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
113 256 267 366 408 757 784 972 977 1064 1482 1932 2031	3.1.465(a)	Tumatanui Inc Society cluster.	R Orzecki	Wish to host Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui at Raukawa Marae, Wehi Wehi Marae and Kai Iwi (Kauwhata) Marae.
1913	3.1.467	Te Iwi o Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	R P Hall (McCaw Lewis)	Hui should be organised by geographical location or based on the preparedness of the iwi/hapū/marae/claimant cluster. Te Iwi o Ngāti Tukorehe invite the Tribunal to Tukorehe Marae to hear their Kōrero Tuku Iho.
113 408 757 1932	3.1.469	Whata Karaka Davis, Ngarongo Iwikatea Nicholson and Te Maharanui Jacob for and on behalf of all descendants of the iwi and hapū of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga	D Edmunds (Kensington Swan)	Hui should be organised by tribal and hapū groupings. In the Rohe Pōtae inquiry the equivalent process was organised principally on a geographic basis with a sequence of hearings at a number of different marae spread over a period of roughly a year. A difficulty of organising the hui by theme would be that the themes will have to be identified and agreed upon by means of some kind of process which could impose further delays.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		Ngawini Kuiti for and on behalf of affected members and land owners in the Waiwiri block including Waiwiri Lake, stream and associated foreshore and seabed Whamaro Mark Kiriona and Wayne John Kiriona for and on behalf of the tribe, hapū and people of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti, Peter Richardson and Te Maharanui Jacob on behalf of the Carnarvon 382 and 383 Trust		

Oral submissions made at the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
1482	Richard Orzecki	Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	Supports hui being held where claimants feel most comfortable to give their evidence. Strong preference is to present as Ngāti Wehi Wehi at Wehi Wehi Marae.
113 1461 651 437 1580	Dennis Emery	Te Hono ki Raukawa	It is possible to get the number of hui for Ngāti Raukawa to 4 or 5. We can organise 'clusters'. What's important is that people get to tell their stories as they know them, without fear.
651	Turoa Karatea	Ngāti Waewae, Pikiahu, Matakore and Rangatahi	Those clients in the Te Reureu area are considering clustering to host a hui.
972	Edward Penetito	Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata	Would prefer to give his evidence at Kai Iwi Marae. If this is not possible would like a place where claimants would feel safe.
1260 1619	John Reweti	Ngāti Waewae and Ngāti Parewahaa	Suggested possible 'natural clusters' such as: • Te Reureu including Taumata o te Rā; • Ngāti Kauwhata, Ngāti Tukorehe and Ngāti Wehiwehi; • Ngāti Huia 'which is a cluster of several marae ranging from Levin – Nga Tokowaru up to Parewahawaha'.

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
1913	Rachel Hall (McCaw Lewis)	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	These claimants have talked to Himatangi claimants – that is a possible cluster.
1913	Lindsay Poutama	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	Ngāti Tukorehe don't fit neatly geographically, may need to participate in more than one hui.
1018	Marama 'Rhonda' Pala	Ngāti Awa Ki Kapiti	Would like one hui to be at Waikanae.
52, 1490, 1621, 1236, 237, 493, 1629		Muaūpoko Claimant Cluster	Would like to host a hui as an iwi rather than as part of a geographical area.
1944	Te Kenehi Teira	Ngāti Hinemata	This district does not have a neat geographical spread of hapū. If hui were arranged geographically Ngāti Hinemata might have to present at more than one. Organising hui should be about coming together rather than splitting up the district. We should all feel comfortable going to each others' marae and everyone should be able to attend each others' hui.

Written submissions made after the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1482	3.1.477	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Offer to host a hui at Wehi Wehi Marae and endorse the submission of Tumatanui (#3.1.465a) that hui be held at Kai Iwi (Kauwhata), Ngāti Wehi Wehi and Raukawa Marae.
2031	3.1.480	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Notes and endorses Presiding Officer's statement that 'at least one Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui would be held in relation to Kapiti Island.'
113 1461 651 437 1580	3.1.474	Te Hono ki Raukawa	D Hall (Woodward Law)	'The important point is that each hapū should have the autonomy to plan its own research for its claims'.

1872 1619 1260 651	3.1.476	*651*: Turoa Karatea and others on behalf of Waewae, Pikiahu, Matakore and Rangatahi *1260:* William Kane, John Reweti and Louis Chase: on behalf of Ngāti Waewae *1619:* John Reweti Ngāti Parewahawaha *1872:* Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu		Formally invite the Tribunal to hold one of the Kōrero Tuku Iho hui in the north of the inquiry district at either a marae at Te Reureu or at Parewahawaha Marae at Bulls.
1913	3.1.475	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	Aidan Warren (McCaw Lewis)	Offer to host a hui at Tukorehe Marae
108	3.1.478	Tama-i-uria Ruru on behalf fo the descendants of Rangihirinui Te Keepa Kemp & the Muaūpoko Tribe		Would like a neutral venue to be considered if conflicts arise over marae venues. Suggests coordinating with the Muaūpoko Claimants Cluster
1640	3.1.472	Te Meera Hyde and others on behalf of Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga	H Te Nahu (Te Nahu Lovell & Co)	Suggest clustering issues be resolved and clusters funded then hui organised through clusters. The claimants support a hui being organised solely by Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga. Alternatively, Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga are willing to work with other whanau claimant groups in organising a hui. The claimants only need one engagement. They do not need a number of hui to give their kōrero. What is important is that they can be heard within the Ngāti Whakatere takiwa alongside their whanau.

How should speaking rights be organised and speakers selected for each hui? Should each speaker be given the opportunity to speak once or should something more akin to a discussion among kaumatua be organised? Are there particular local kawa which could guide us in this respect?

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1064 366	3.1.447	Robert Waretini Tukorehu Herbert and Robert Jonathan Wayne Herbert on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Solomon (Rēkohu Chambers)	Kawa should be flexible so as to enable all designated speakers a fair opportunity to speak. It may be that one speaker needs to speak more than once and this is something that should be decided at the time by discretion of the Presiding Officer.
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	This could be guided by the kawa of their marae provided this is in accordance with the direction of the Tribunal.
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	This could be guided by the kawa of their marae provided this is in accordance with the direction of the Tribunal. In terms of Ngāti Wehi Wehi kawa, mutual respect is essential in any engagement, formal or otherwise, this equates to respecting the view, space and kōrero of others. This kawa would govern any hui held on Ngāti Wehi Wehi marae. Kaumatua from amongst the claimant community should be given the opportunity to respond to kōrero put before the Tribunal, subject to marae kawa and the Tribunal's direction. Also support the Tribunal's proposal that claimants be permitted to file information with the Tribunal before the relevant hui. Further to this, the claimants have a number of iwi/hapū studies undertaken by whanau members including interviews with kaumatua who have passed on. The claimants may seek to file these studies in advance of the hui, and may also

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
				seek the Tribunal's leave to present recordings of interviews during the hui through audio-visual equipment.
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	This could be guided by the kawa of the particular marae, provided that: • this is in accordance with the direction of the Tribunal; • those such as the claimants are afforded a fair opportunity to speak in relation to their claim; • appropriate translation services are made available so that participating claimants can understand what is being said and respond if necessary, regardless of their level of fluency in Te Reo.
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	Preference should be given to kōrero by kuia and kaumatua, or other recognised repositories of knowledge of kōrero tuku iho. The order, number of opportunities and that nature of the kōrero should be determined by the local kawa.
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngati Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Speaking rights and selection of speakers should be left to the coordinating committee of claimant groups for each hui to coordinate. The appropriate kawa will be that of the marae hosting the hui.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapu o Himatangi, being the members of Ngati Te Au, Ngati Turanga and Ngati Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Speaking rights and the selection of speakers should be left to the claimant groups of each hu to coordinate. The appropriate kawa will be that of the marae that is hosting the hui.
1623 2361 2199 2032 1707	3.1.457	Turoa Karatea, Mason Durie, Danny Karatea-Goddard and Sue Tumanako Herangi on behalf of Ngati Rangatai ki Rangitikei. Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngati Toa Rangitira, Ngati Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts. Kim Poananga and others in regard to the Returned Servicemen's claim from WWII. Lee Iranui Lee and others in regard to the imposition of the Rating Act on Maori Land. Dawn Mitai-Pehi and others in regard to the Turakina Maori Girls Kura	M Sinclair	Some briefing from counsel would be advised, in particular regarding issues of a sensitive legal nature. Claimants do not wish to give of valuable knowledge without meaningful result. A concern of the claimants is that if speakers are not adequately briefed better-financed Crown analysts may take advantage and draw conclusions regarding their oral histories which the claimant's themselves are not sufficiently resourced to make. Good preparation is requested of the Waitangi Tribunal as well as adequate funding from Crown Forestry Rental Trust.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2261 1815		and Mana Wahine issues. Kim Poanaga and others in regard to the issues of the loss of Mana Tane. Kahu Stirling and others in regard to the imposition of Crown policies and the loss of Tino Rangatiratanga		
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngati Kauwhata	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	There are local kawa which would dictate how speakers are selected. The claimants will consult with their kaumatua and kuia and provide the Tribunal with a more detailed response closer to the hui.
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.	T Wara (Aurere Law)	Speaking rights and selection of speakers should be left to the claimant groups of each hui to coordinate. The appropriate kawa will be that of the marae that is hosting the hui.
1619	3.1.462	John Reweti on behalf of himself and Ngati Parewahawaha	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	Speaking rights and selection of speakers should be left to the claimant groups of each hui to coordinate. The appropriate kawa will be that of the marae that is hosting the hui.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1913	3.1.467	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	A Warren (McCaw Lewis)	Speaking rights should be determined by the claimant community hosting the particular hui. Presentations should be a mix of panels which present in a discussion like forum, and individual speakers who have specialist knowledge of particular kaupapa. It is imperative that the kōrero is able to flow naturally and unrestricted, but for prompts from the Tribunal to focus kōrero in a certain direction.
113 408 757 1932	3.1.469	Whata Karaka Davis, Ngarongo Iwikatea Nicholson and Te Maharanui Jacob for and on behalf of all descendants of the iwi and hapu of Ngati Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti for and on behalf of affected members and land owners in the Waiwiri block including Waiwiri Lake, stream and associated foreshore and seabed Whamaro Mark Kiriona and Wayne John Kiriona for and on behalf of the tribe, hapu and people of Ngati Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti, Peter Richardson and Te Maharanui Jacob on	D Edmunds (Kensington Swan)	Hapu have the right to choose their presenters. The selection of speakers and speaking rights should be a matter for hapu to determine.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		behalf of the Carnarvon 382 and 383 Trust		

Oral submissions made at the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
1482	Richard Orzecki	Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	Want to be able to present their story without being challenged, and would respect the rights of others to do the same. Would like the opportunity to present recorded Kōrero Tuku Iho both for those who are frail or deceased, and also for those who find even a less-formal marae style process daunting. Suggested allowing kaumatua and kuia to present as a panel for mutual support. This worked very well in TRP hearing. Also favours evidence by teleconference.
2197	Oma Heitia	The descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo	Written material such as waiata, mōteatea and whakapapa would help and allowing speakers notes would help keep them focused. Mrs Heitia described how in her family Māori things weren't spoken about much but she learnt much from the contents of a tin-box with documents that was kept at home, as such she supports a process that allows written submissions.
1913	Rachel Hall (McCaw Lewis)	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	In its purest form, kaumatua and kuia would be sitting around having a cup of tea and occasionally a tribunal member would ask a question. Notes concerns about some iwi and hapū not having that knowledge orally but does not want the process to be made more formal with filings etc.

Wai number	Speaker	Claimant Group	Response
1913	Lindsay Poutama	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	'it's about allowing the fluidity of korero to happen... our kuia and koroua they won't sit down with a script ... because that's not the way the kōrero is going to flow. The kōrero is going to flow because the "flow" of the kōrero happens ... all of a sudden it will spark a memory, and then the kōrero will come from that spark, It won't come because someone has directed them to think about it. It'll come because they've heard something else come up and they'll go, "Oh, and I want to talk about this" ' . Suggested calling witnesses who were not Māori.
113, 408 757, 1932	Iwikatea Nicholson	Ngāti Raukawa	The Tribunal needs to set down some guidelines and parameters for Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho.
1491, 2390		Hokio A beneficial owners Takamore Trust: Benjamin Rameka Ngaia and chair of the trust	Claimants are concerned that their lack of effective holders of Kōrero Tuku Iho will inhibit their ability to participate effectively in this process.
52, 1490, 1621, 2326, 237, 493, 1629	Linda Thornton (Cathy Ertel & Co)	Muaūpoko Claimant Cluster	Strongly in favour of allowing lawyers to help organise the presentations and brief witnesses. Favour speaking notes being allowed. Concerned about lack of funding from CFRT.

Written submissions made after the Judicial Conference at Tukorehe Marae, 28 May 2013

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1482	3.1.477	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	There are around five or six kaumatua who have passed away but may have kōrero recorded which the Claimants would like to present during the process. Suggest basing hui protocol on that used in Te Rohe Pōtae inquiry. Kaumatua should be allowed to present as a panel and those overseas be allowed to present via video-conference.
1872 1619 1260 651	3.1.476	*651*: Turoa Karatea and others on behalf of Waewae, Pikiahu, Matakore and Rangatahi *1260:* William Kane, John Reweti and Louis Chase: on behalf of Ngāti Waewae *1619:* John Reweti Ngāti Parewahawaha *1872:* Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere / I Peters (Wackrow, Williams & Davies)	The process will allow kuia, koroua and claimants to put forward their Kōrero Tuku Iho and oral traditions in a relaxed and free-flowing manner and allow the Tribunal to engage with kaikōrero in a dialogue about the kōrero being presented. In some cases, this may mean a group of 2 or 3 kuia and koroua presenting together. Suggests adopting the hui protocols adopted in the Rohe Pōtae inquiry as 'a useful precedent' as to how the hui should be run. While hui shold be largely oral, supplementary written material in the nature of speaking notes – including such things as whakapapa, waiata, tongi, wkakatauki and mōteatea – would enrich the kōrero and should be allowed. Written material should be allowed to complement the kōrero, but not extensive written briefs. This would reflect what happened in Te Rohe Pōtae where 'written material to complement the oral traditions' was permitted.

1913	3.1.475	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	Aidan Warren (McCaw Lewis)	Support following guidelines and protocols used in TRP, but note that the tikanga of the particular host of each hui will play a role. The process should be mainly oral, 'but at times it may be appropriate for speakers to refer to written sources'. Wary of extensive written briefs being produced for this process. Claimants who do not have living holders of Kōrero Tuku Iho will not be disadvantaged as they will get an opportunity to present later. Really good holders of Kōrero Tuku Iho will cover others groups well, they should get maximum time. Non Māori holders of knowledge should be allowed to appear as witnesses if claimants want them.
1640	3.1.472	Te Meera Hyde and others on behalf of Ngāti Whakatere ki te Tonga	H Te Nahu (Te Nahu Lovell & Co)	Seek clarification that a focus on Marae tikanga will not prevent women from speaking and if it does that they be given another 'safe' environment to present. Because the purpose of the hui is to gather knowledge about kōrero tawhito' the form of presentation should be secondary. It 'need not only be presented orally but may also be written.'

Who should be give responsibility for organizing each hui? Possibilities include marae-based committees, representative iwi bodies, claimant groups or some other form of organisation?

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
1064 366	3.1.447	Robert Waretini Tukorehu Herbert and Robert Jonathan Wayne Herbert on behalf of Ngāti Rangatahi	M Solomon (Rēkohu Chambers)	Local marae with assistance of the tribunal staff should have responsibility for organising the actual hui but a committee of the claimants who will be giving evidence (with assistance as required from counsel) should have input into the structure, timing and content of the kōrero to be given at each hui.
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	For a hui at Kai Iwi Marae, the claimants support the Tribunal's proposal that there be a co-ordinating committee representative of all the Ngāti Kauwhata claimants. Each body responsible for organising a Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui at a particular marae could nominate a co-ordinator for the respective hui. Suggest that paragrah 4.2 of He Tikanga Mo Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho o Te Rohe Pōtae protocol be adopted for the purposes of this inquiry: *A co-ordinator shall be nominated in respect of each hui to coordinate speakers and to liaise with the Tribunal in relation to matters such as layout of the wharenui, arrangement and order of speakers and other matters of tikanga.'*
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	Tumatanui is the appropriate representative body to organise a hui at Ngāti Wehi Wehi marae. Suggest that each body responsible for organising Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui at particular marae could nominate a co-ordinator for the respective hui. Suggest that paragraph 4.2 of He Tikanga Mo Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho o Te Rohe Pōtae protocol be adopted for the purposes of this inquiry.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
2031	3.1.450	Simon Austin on behalf of himself and the descendants of James Howard Wallace	P Johnston/J Sarich (Rainey Collins)	The claimants suggest that each body responsible for organising Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho hui at a particular marae could nominate a coordinator for the respective hui. Suggest that paragraph 4.2 of He Tikanga Mo Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho o Te Rohe Pōtae protocol (cited above) be adopted for the purposes of this inquiry.
977	3.1.452	Margaret Morgan-Allen on behalf of herself and Ngāti Hikitanga Te Paea	T Tarawa (Enterprise Law)	Individual claimants should be offered the opportunity to host hui and if they so choose, collaborate with neighbouring claimant groups. The claimant has identified other groups willing to collaborate in this manner.
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	Hui should be organised by committees made up of claimants for each particular Kōrero Tuku Iho hui working with the Marae committees of the Marae.
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	B Arapere/I Peters (Wakrow, Williams and Davies)	The Wai 1618 claimants are hapū of Ngāti Raukawa. They are part of a group of claimants that is not aligned with either Te Hono ki Raukawa or Tumatanui. The claimants have tried to lead initiatives that would bring these two bodies together so that the research programme could commence. Unfortunately this has not yet been successful. Accordingly, the claimants' position is that a Kōrero Tuku Iho hui process should not be controlled by one or two umbrella groupings but by organising committees made up of claimants for each Kōrero Tuku Iho hui working with the marae committees of the hosting marae.
2361	3.1.457	Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua	M Sinclair	The Wai 2361 claimants of Kapiti Coast of Waikanae have both a claimant committee and a research management unit. Current discussions are taking placed among Ngāti Toarangatira-Ngāti Raukawa-Te Atiawa ki Kapiti regarding the establishment of a representative Waitangi Tribunal claimant cluster group for those affiliated to the three iwi.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		districts.		
972	3.1.459	Edward Penetito and others on behalf of themselves, the Kauwhata Claims Komiti, Te Marae Komiti o Kauwhata Trust and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata	B Gilling, C Savali, R Sandri (Morrison Kent)	Support the idea of organising committees as long as they are representative of all those wishing to participate in this process. The claimants suggest that the committees could be a mix of claimants (who are seeking to present their evidence), and marae based committees (for the host marae, and other marae associated with the iwi/hapū group presenting at particular hui).
2197	3.1.461	Oma Heitia on behalf of herself and the descendants of Hare Reweti Rongorongo, and others.	T Wara (Aurere Law)	Claimant groups for each hui should be established with the responsibility of organising each hui, who will work with the marae committees of the hosting marae.
1913	3.1.467	Te Iwi o Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	R P Hall (McCaw Lewis)	Hui should be organised by a committee, representative of the iwi/hapū/marae/claimant cluster hosting the particular hui.
113 408 757 1932	3.1.469	Whata Karaka Davis, Ngarongo Iwikatea Nicholson and Te Maharanui Jacob for and on behalf of all descendants of the iwi and hapū of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti for and on behalf of affected members and land owners in the Waiwiri block including Waiwiri Lake, stream and associated foreshore and	D Edmunds (Kensington Swan)	Hapū elders of the marae should have the duty and responsibility to manage the hui in accordance with the tikanga of the hapū concerned.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Counsel	Response
		seabed Whamaro Mark Kiriona and Wayne John Kiriona for and on behalf of the tribe, hapū and people of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga Ngawini Kuiti, Peter Richardson and Te Maharanui Jacob on behalf of the Carnarvon 382 and 383 Trust		

Claimants who have offered to host Nga Kōrero Tuku Iho Hui

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Location
784	3.1.448	Rodney Graham and others on behalf of themselves and the Kauwhata Treaty Claims Komiti and Nga Uri Tangata o Ngāti Kauwhata ki te Tonga	Kai Iwi Marae, Feilding
1482	3.1.449	Richard Edward Orzecki, Ropata William Miritana and Patricia Ngatakutai on behalf of themselves and of Te Kotahitanga o te Iwi o Ngāti Wehi Wehi	Wehi Wehi Marae, Manakau
1872	3.1.454	Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	Claimants expect that the hapū and claimants of Te Reureu and northern Raukawa will offer to host a hui on one of their own Marae in their own rohe.
1619	3.1.462	John Reweti on behalf of himself and Ngāti Parewahawaha	Claimants expect that the hapū and claimants of Te Reureu and northern Raukawa will offer to host a hui on one of their own Marae in their own rohe.
1872 1619 1260 651	3.1.476	*651*: Turoa Karatea and others on behalf of Waewae, Pikiahu, Matakore and Rangatahi *1260:* William Kane, John Reweti and Louis Chase: on behalf of Ngāti Waewae *1619:* John Reweti Ngāti Parewahawaha *1872:* Hare Arapere, Purehe Smith, Raukohe Anderson and Sarah Tuera	Either a marae at Te Reureu or Parewahawaha Marae in Bulls.

Wai number	ROI number	Claimants	Location
		Smith on behalf of themselves and the hapū of Ngāti Pikiahu	
1618	3.1.455	Milton Rakei Te Kura Rauhihi, Hayden Brinsley Turoa and Edward Whatanui Devonshire on behalf of themselves and Nga Hapū o Himatangi, being the members of Ngāti Te Au, Ngāti Turanga and Ngāti Rakau	Motuiti Marae
2361	3.1.457	Wi Kakakura Parata and Hona Webber from Ngāti Toa Rangitira, Ngāti Raukawa, Te Atiawa ki Kapiti and Horowhenua districts.	Whakarongatai Marae or another location at Waikanae.
113, 408, 757, 784, 972, 977, 1482, 1932, 2031, 256, 267, 366, 1064	3.1.465a	Tumatanui	Raukawa Marae, Wehi Wehi Marae, Kai Iwi (Ngāti Kauwhata) Marae.
1913	3.1.475	Te Iwi O Ngāti Tukorehe Trust	Tukorehe Marae