

Appendix A

**To memorandum of Wackrow Williams & Davies Limited for
Ngāti Hikairo, dated 16 October 2013**

Questions of clarification for Bassett and Kay on their report #A62

1. At page 67 of your main report you show a map displaying the “Te Puru, Kārewa and Kāwhia Townships”. For absolute clarity can you confirm that the “Kāwhia Township” as shown in the key to the map is simply a township and not a “native township” under the various Native Township legislation (and is the township as referred to at page 68, paragraph 2.4 of your report).
2. At page 193 of your main report you describe an owners’ meeting resolution. What were the meeting quorum and voting requirements under legislation at the time of that resolution?
3. At page 193 of your main report you note that a resolution was made to sell Te Puru township lands for £1,565. At page 196 you state that it was decided that the sale price was £1,225 (including the monies for Wetere’s fencing). Can you clarify why the actual sale price was different to the one agreed at the owners’ meeting?
4. At page 303 of your report you summarise the process leading to the tender sale of the cottage on Te Puru hospital site. Why was this process not applied in the case of the hospital building itself? Was this process considered for the hospital building? (refer evidence of Pipi Barton, #N4, paragraph 147, at page 34).
5. At page 338 of your report you discuss the payment of compensation to the owners of land in the Kārewa township.
 - 5.1 Is it correct that the Māori Trustee only paid 58 of 76 identified owners?
 - 5.2 Were some of the 58 owners for whom the Māori Trustee had addresses deceased and without successions completed?
 - 5.3 Was the \$388,480.93 the amount paid to the 58 owners or was it the total amount of compensation for the Kārewa township leases (and therefore the Māori Trustee retains funds for some of the owners)?

RECEIVED Waitangi Tribunal
16 Oct 2013
Ministry of Justice WELLINGTON

6. At pages 68, 78-79, 169-170, 172, 178-182, 248-249, 344 you provide detail of the plight of the Morgan whānau up to the 1920s and their ongoing objections and petitions against the Native Township and their attempts to have their land removed from the township so that they could own, use and manage it as owners. Is there evidence of what became of the Morgan whānau after the 1920s and their interest in the Kārewa Township?
7. At pages 74-75 of your report you describe a statement of the Assistant Surveyor as follows "*He said that there were a 'number of fine Pohutukawa trees' which would be destroyed if a road was made in their vicinity. He explained that the 'owners of the land make it a condition that the trees are not to be injured in any way and the Government has always ordered that the trees are not to be touched.'*" Have you found any evidence to show if, where, when and how the Government adhered to this condition?
8. Are you aware of evidence of owners raising concerns of wahi tapu on the Kārewa Township properties, and how those concerns were dealt with by the authorities?
9. At footnote 699 on page 172 you identify Tahuri Kaora as a Morgan. Our Ngāti Hikairo clients describe Tahui Kaora as a nephew to Matire Morgan, but not actually as a Morgan. Can you advise where the reference to "Morgan" came from in the reference or from your research?
10. At page 178 of your main report you identify Tahuri Kaora as a woman by using the term "she". Our Ngāti Hikairo clients describe Tahuri Kaora as a man. Can you please advise whether there is an error in this regard within your report or whether the source documents indicate a female.
11. At page 179 you refer to "Tahuri Kaora (Morgan, Forbes)". What is the basis for having these two names follow Tahui Kaora?
12. At page 184 is "Moki Pumipi" the same person as "Moke Pumipi"?
13. At page 186 is "Huki Ponui" the same person as "Haki Pōnui"?
14. At page 68 of your report (footnote 235) you refer to a partition application for Kāwhia M by "Tahuri Papa Kaora". Does the

Ōtorohanga Minute Book reference at footnote 235 state “Tahuri Papa Kaora” or “Tahuri and Papa Kaora” (being father and child)?

15. At page 69 of your report you refer to “Tupu Huirua”, should this be “Tapu Huirua”? You also refer to “Mauae Moke”, should this be “Mamae Moke”? Finally, you also refer to “A. Powhare”, should this be “A. Pouwhare”?
16. At page 16 you refer to “Te Auke”, should this reference be to “Te Ake”?
17. Did you find evidence of your research that Tauī Wetere was sold, or was willing to sell, his interests in Pirongia West in order to pay off survey liens, rates, and other costs against the Kāwhia (Te Puru) lands?
18. At page 262 you refer to “Lauren Tooman”, should this be “Laura Tooman”?
19. At page 93 of your report you refer to the “Hikairo Maniapoto Tuwharetoa District Maori Land Council” and the removal of “Hikairo” from the name for this board. When the name was removed from the title was the board’s name, was there a corresponding change in the district for this board in order to remove the Ngāti Hikairo lands?
20. Also in relation to page 93 of your report, did the “Maniapoto Tuwharetoa District Maori Land Council” continue to operate in the Mangauika, Pirongia West, Kāwhia Blocks and Te Puru and Karewa Native Townships (certain lands where Ngāti Hikairo had been awarded interests)?