Appendix 'A'

Whirinaki Maori School

Whirinaki 5K6B

On page 658 you say the following:

"In 1980 the land was no longer needed for education purposes and one of the original owners, Mrs Maria Joyce was offered the opportunity to re-purchase this land"

Question: How was this offer to re-purchase conveyed to Mrs Joyce?

Question: How many attempts were made to contact Mrs Joyce about the offer?

You further say on page 658:

"The question arises as to why the Crown did not consult the other Maori right- holders, once there was no response from Mrs Joyce, which seems the least that could be done such a short time ago."

Question: Can you provide a comment on why the Crown did not consult the other Maori right-holders namely Wiremu Morunga and Wehi Morunga?

You conclude by saying the following:

"In any event in 1995 the block was amalgamated with the Riiwhi which it is now known as?"

Question: Are you aware of the reasons behind the 1995 amalgamation especially when the land in question was classified as a general reservation in 1986?

RECEIVED
Waitangi Tribunal
13 Nov 2013
Ministry of Justice
WELLINGTON

Kaikohe Aerodrome

On page 352 you mention the following

" Once the proposition was analysed, however it was clear that it would be more economical to take the whole of the affected blocks from Maori owners (i.e.: Kohewhata 14, Otuhi 1B4A and part of 1C5 and the Tawapuku 3, 4A & 4B blocks. This would avoid the Crown having liability for injurious affection, diminution of property and severance from water supply, and thereby avoid having to pay hefty compensation to the owners of the residue."

Question: Can you clarify the term 'injurious affection"

On page 367 you say:

"The 161a 3r 36p (65.548 hectares) that was surplus to the requirements of the aerodrome from the beginning and leased to Pakeha farmers were not offered back to the former owners, nor were they sold to the Council. They remained under lease until 31 December 1998, when the leases expired".

Footnote 781 says *"it is not known at this point (July 2006) what happened to this land"*

Question: The 65.548 hectares was not offered back to the former owners, nor were they sold to the Council is it fair to make the assumption that such lands are still under lease?

Question: If the answer is yes then do the leases remain on the Ministry of Transport's asset register and administered by Landcorp property Limited or has this arrangement changed?

Question: Are you aware of who now are the lessee(s)?

Question: If the answer is No about the assumption that the lands are still under lease then can you provide a comment on what you think has happened to the lands?